

Chiral Supramolecules: Organometallic Molecular Loops Made from Enantiopure *R*-[*cis*-Rh₂(C₆H₄PPh₂)₂(CH₃CN)₆](BF₄)₂

F. Albert Cotton,* Carlos A. Murillo,* and Rongmin Yu

Department of Chemistry and Laboratory for Molecular Structure and Bonding, P.O. Box 3012, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77842-3012

Received July 11, 2005

Two enantiopure molecular loops, RR-[cis-Rh₂(C₆H₄PPh₂)₂(py)₂O₂C(CF₂)_nCO₂]₂ (1, n = 2 and 2, n = 3) have been made from the reaction in CH₂Cl₂ and CH₃OH of the inherently chiral dirhodium compound, R-[cis-Rh₂(C₆H₄-PPh₂)₂(CH₃CN)₆](BF₄)₂, and HO₂C(CF₂)_nCO₂H in the presence of an excess of pyridine. Single-crystal structure analyses reveal that each of these compounds is composed of two R-cis-Rh₂(C₆H₄PPh₂)₂(ax-py)₂²⁺ units, and two equatorial perfluorodicarboxylate linkers, which form a loop oligomer. The ¹H, ¹⁹F, and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra in CDCl₃ and C₅D₅N indicate that only one type of highly symmetric species exists in each solution, which is consistent with the solid-state structures.

Introduction

The study of metal-based units as key elements in selfassembly of supramolecular arrays is a topic of great interest in supramolecular chemistry.^{1–3} This field of research began with the use of *cis*-ML₂²⁺ units (where M is a single metal atom, usually Pt²⁺, Pd²⁺, Zn²⁺, or Cd²⁺ and L represents a monodentate or half of a bidentate ligand) as the metallic component.² Such supramolecules are usually ionic with high charges. Beginning in early 1998, metal–metal bonded dinuclear species bridged with two or three formamidinate ligands have been used in this laboratory for the preparation

10.1021/ic051149k CCC: \$30.25 © 2005 American Chemical Society Published on Web 10/05/2005

of redox-active neutral supramolecules.³ Appropriate selection of metal—ligand interactions, proper choice of the metalcontaining units, and the use of suitable linkers have led to the self-assembly of numerous interesting supramolecular architectures, such as triangles, squares and other polygons, helices, and polyhedra, as well as 2D and 3D infinite structures.^{2,3} These compounds not only show interesting structural features but also have various potential applications, for example in catalysis, chemical sensing, and chemical separation.

The use of chiral transition metal complexes to catalyze the synthesis of single enantiomers of chiral organic compounds is now an important contemporary technique in both laboratory-scale and industrial chemistry.⁴ Introduction of chirality into supramolecular architectures might provide new approaches to asymmetric catalysis. However, there have been few reports on the catalysis of chiral metallo-supramolecules⁵ because most such compounds are coordinately saturated, and this hinders their application in metal-based catalysis.

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: cotton@tamu.edu (F.A.C.); murillo@tamu.edu (C.A.M.).

⁽¹⁾ Lehn, J.-M. Supramolecular Chemistry: Concepts and Perspectives, VCH: New York, 1995.

^{(2) (}a) Schalley, C. A.; Lützen, A.; Albrecht, M. Chem. Eur. J. 2004, 10, 1072. (b) Yaghi, O. M.; O'Keeffe, M.; Ockwig, N. W.; Chae, H. K.; Eddaoudi, M.; Kim, J. Nature 2003, 423, 705. (c) Seidel, S. R.; Stang, P. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 972. (d) Evans, O. R.; Lin, W. Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 511. (e) Holliday, B. J.; Mirkin, C. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2022. (f) Leininger, S.; Olenyuk, B.; Stang, P. J. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 853 and references therein. (g) Espinet, P.; Soulantica, K.; Charmant, J. P. H.; Orpen, A. G. Chem. Commun. 2000, 915. (h) Navarro, J. A. R.; Lippert, B. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1999, 185-186, 653. (i) Fujita, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1999, 32, 53. (j) Scherer, M.; Caulder, D. L.; Johnson, D. W.; Raymond, K. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 1588. (k) Lai, S.-W.; Chan, M. C.-W.; Peng, S.-M.; Che, C.-M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 669. (1) Klausmeyer, K. K.; Wilson, S. R.; Rauchfuss, T. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 2705. (m) Fujita, M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1998, 27, 417 and references therein. (n) Jones, C. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1998, 27, 289. (o) Whang, D.; Kim, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 451.

 ^{(3) (}a) Cotton, F. A.; Lin, C.; Murillo, C. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 759. (b) Cotton, F. A.; Lin, C.; Murillo, C. A. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2002, 99, 4810.

⁽⁴⁾ See for example: (a) Brunel, J. M. Chem. Rev. 2005, 10, 857. (b) Dubrovina, N. V.; Boerner, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5883. (c) Hawkins, J. M.; Watson, T. J. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3224. (d) Barberis, M.; Pérez-Prieto, J.; Herbst, K.; Lahuerta, P. Organometallics 2002, 21, 1667. (e) Estevan, F.; Herbst, K.; Lahuerta, P.; Barberis, M.; Pérez-Prieto, J. Oganometallics 2001, 20, 950. (f) Barberis, M.; Lahuerta, P.; Pérez-Prieto, J.; Sanaú, M. Chem. Commun. 2001, 439. (g) Estevan, F.; Lahuerta, P.; Pérez-Prieto, J.; Sereira, I.; Stiriba, S.-E. Organometallics 1998, 17, 3442. (h) Estevan, F.; Lahuerta, P.; Pérez-Prieto, J.; Sanaú, M.; Stiriba S.-E.; Ubeda, A. M. Organometallics 1997, 16, 880.

The preparation and structure of cis-Rh₂(C₆H₄PPh₂)₂-(OAc)₂(HOAc)₂ was reported in 1985;⁶ it was synthesized from the reaction of Rh₂(OAc)₄ and PPh₃ in acetic acid under reflux. Its structure is composed of a singly bonded Rh–Rh subunit with two cisoid, head-to-tail, orthometalated C₆H₄-PPh₂ groups and two cisoid acetate anions in the equatorial sites, as well as two acetic acid molecules in the axial positions. The cis-Rh₂(C₆H₄PPh₂)₂²⁺ unit is inherently chiral because the head-to-tail arrangement excludes the existence of an improper axis. The absolute chirality of this dirhodium unit can be defined by the relationship of the phosphine ligand as described before.⁷

We have reported that racemic neutral molecular triangles which have six kinetically labile ligands in axial positions can be prepared from the self-assembly of racemic mixtures containing the dirhodium units and linear rigid-dicarboxylate anions.⁷ Interestingly, only triangular compounds have been isolated and there is no evidence of molecular squares, even though the formation of squares is enthalpically favored by the near 90° angle subtended at the dimetal unit when linear rigid dicarboxylate linkers are used. The enantiomers of these triangles have also been prepared, and homogeneous and heterogeneous asymmetric catalysis by these chiral triangles in the intermolecular carbenoid transfer reaction of ethyl diazo ester has shown that these chiral triangles are very active and have remarkable selectivity when compared with simple Rh₂ paddlewheel catalysts with chiral amidate ligands.8

We report here the preparation, structures, and multinuclear NMR spectra of two enantiopure molecular loops, RR-[cis- $Rh_2(C_6H_4PPh_2)_2(py)_2O_2C(CF_2)_nCO_2]_2$ (1, n = 2 and 2, n =3), which were prepared from the reaction of perfluorinated dicarboxylates and enantiopure R-[cis-Rh2(C6H4PPh2)2(CH3- $(CN)_6$ (BF₄)₂, which was made using a procedure similar to that for the preparation of the racemic analogue⁷ in which the resolved diastereoisomer R-cis-Rh₂(C₆H₄PPh₂)₂(protos)₂- $(H_2O)_2$ (protos = N-4-methylphenylsulfonyl-L-proline anion)^{4e} was employed as starting material. Compounds 1 and 2 are the first examples of chiral molecular loops formed with chiral metal components, achiral ligands, and labile axial ligands. They may have potential applications in homogeneous or heterogeneous asymmetric catalysis,⁹ e.g., in the decomposition reaction of diazo compounds. A few molecular loops containing dimetal components have been produced previously from the self-assembly of [cis-M2-

- (5) Lee, S. K.; Hu, A. G.; Lin, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 12948.
 (6) Chakravarty, A. R.; Cotton, F. A.; Tocher, D. A.; Tocher, J. H. Organometallics 1985, 4, 8.
- (7) Cotton, F. A.; Murillo, C. A.; Wang, X.; Yu, R. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 8394.
- (8) Cotton, F. A.; Murillo, C. A.; Stiriba, S.-E.; Wang, X.; Yu, R. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 8223–8233.
- (9) It is well-known that paddlewheel compounds with an Rh₂⁴⁺ core are used extensively in catalysis. See for example: (a) Doyle, M. P.; Ren, T. Progress in Inorganic Chemistry; Karlin, K., Ed.; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 2001; Vol. 49, pp 113–168. (b) Evans, P. A. Modern Rhodium-Catalyzed Organic Reactions; Wiley-VCH: New York, 2005. (c) Doyle, M. P. In Selectivity in Catalysis; Davis, M. E., Suib, S. L., Eds.; ACS Symposium Series 517, American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1993; pp 40–57.

 $(DAniF)_2(CH_3CN)_4](BF_4)_2$ (M = Mo¹⁰ and Rh^{10,11}) and bent or flexible dicarboxylate dianions. However, to our knowledge, only two chiral molecular loops with two dimetal building blocks linked with chiral bridges have been made, *cis*-Mo₂(DAniF)₂(O₂CCH=C=CHCO₂)₂¹² and *cis*-Mo₂(DAniF)₂(*p*-O₂CCH(CH₃)C₆H₄CH(CH₃)CO₂)₂.¹³

Experimental Section

Materials and Methods. Unless otherwise stated, all manipulations and procedures were conducted in air. Solvents were used as received. All other reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used as received. Enantiopure *R*-*cis*-Rh₂(C₆H₄PPh₂)₂(CH₃-CN)₆(BF₄)₂ was prepared following a procedure similar to that for the preparation of the racemic compound⁷ but using *R*-Rh₂(C₆H₄-PPh₂)₂(protos)₂(H₂O)₂ as starting material.^{4e}

Elemental analyses were performed by Canadian Microanalytical Service, Delta, British Columbia. ¹H NMR (using the residual proton of CDCl₃ as reference), ³¹P{¹H}NMR (with H₃PO₄ in CDCl₃ as reference), and ¹⁹F NMR (CF₃COOH in CDCl₃ as reference) spectra were recorded on an Inova-300 NMR spectrometer.

Preparation of *RR***-***[cis***-Rh**₂(**C**₆**H**₄**PPh**₂)₂(**py**)₂**O**₂**C**(**CF**₂)₂**CO**₂]₂, **1.** A solution of *R*-[*cis*-Rh₂(C₆H₄PPh₂)₂(CH₃CN)₆](BF₄)₂ (30 mg, 0.028 mmol) and HO₂C(CF₂)₂CO₂H (10 mg, 0.052 mmol) in 4 mL of CH₂Cl₂, 1 mL of methanol, and 5 drops of pyridine was layered with 5 mL of methanol. Orange crystals were isolated after two weeks when the diffusion was complete. Yield: 22 mg, 72%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, *δ*, ppm): 8.609 (d, 8H, pyridine), 7.603 (t, 4H, aromatic), 7.331 (t, 4H, aromatic), 6.697–7.042 (m, 56 H, aromatic), 6.418 (t, 4H, aromatic). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃ and C₅D₅N, *δ*, ppm): 24.25 (d, ¹J_{PRh} = 170.79 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃ and C₅D₅N, *δ*, ppm): -42.10 (dd, ⁴J_{FRh} = 646.04 and 256.37 Hz). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀₀H₇₆N₄F₈O₈P₄Rh₄: C 55.86, H 3.57, N 2.61%. Found: C 55.72, H 3.41, N 2.49%.

Preparation of *RR***-**[*cis***-Rh**₂(**C**₆**H**₄**PPh**₂)₂(**py**)₂**O**₂**C**(**CF**₂)₃**CO**₂]₂, **2.** A solution of *R*-[*cis*-Rh₂(C₆H₄PPh₂)₂(CH₃CN)₆](BF₄)₂ (30 mg, 0.028 mmol) and HO₂C(CF₂)₃CO₂H (10 mg, 0.040 mmol) in 3 mL of CH₂Cl₂, 1 mL of methanol, and 5 drops of py was layered with 5 mL of methanol. Orange crystals were isolated after three weeks when diffusion was complete. Yield: 25 mg, 79%. ¹H NMR (CD₂-Cl₂, *δ*, ppm): 8.580 (d, 8H, pyridine), 7.589 (t, 4H, aromatic), 7.310 (dd, 4H, aromatic), 6.677–7.161 (m, 56 H, aromatic), 6.385 (t, 4H, aromatic). ³¹P {¹H} NMR (CDCl₃ and C₅D₅N, *δ*, ppm): 22.14-(d, ¹*J*_{PRh} = 170.34 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃ and C₅D₅N, *δ*, ppm): -40.75(dd, ⁴*J*_{FRh} = 663.76 and 282.04 Hz), -44.74 (s, central CF₂) Anal. Calcd for C₁₀₂H₇₆N₄F₁₂O₈P₄Rh₄: C 54.45, H 3.41, N 2.49%. Found: 54.33, H 3.35, N 2.39%.

X-Ray Structure Determination. Data were collected on a Bruker SMART 1000 CCD area detector system. Cell parameters were determined using the program SMART.¹⁴ Data reduction and integration were performed with the software SAINT,¹⁵ while absorption corrections were applied by using the program SAD-ABS.¹⁶ The positions of the Rh atoms were found via direct methods

- (12) Cotton, F. A.; Donahue, J. P.; Murillo, C. A.; Yu, R. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2005, 358, 1373.
- (13) Berry, J. F.; Cotton, F. A.; Ibragimov, S. A.; Murillo, C. A.; Wang, X. Dalton Trans. 2003, 4297.
- (14) SMART for Windows NT, version 5.618; Bruker Advanced X-ray Solutions, Inc.: Madison, WI, 2001.
- (15) SAINT. Data Reduction Software. Verson 6.36A; Bruker Advanced X-ray Solutions, Inc.: Madison, WI, 2001.

 ^{(10) (}a) Cotton, F. A.; Lin, C.; Murillo, C. A. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 472.
 (b) Cotton, F. A.; Lin, C.; Murillo, C. A. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 5886.

⁽¹¹⁾ Bonar-Law, R. P.; McGrath, T. D.; Bickley, J. F.; Femoni, C.; Steiner, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **2001**, *4*, 16.

Table 1. Crystallographic Data for the Molecular Loops 1 and 2

compound	$1 \cdot 3 CH_2 Cl_2 \cdot CH_3 OH \cdot 0.5 H_2 O$	2•3.5CH ₂ Cl ₂ •2CH ₃ OH
chemical formula	C104H87Cl6F8N4O9.5P4Rh4	$C_{107.5}H_{91}Cl_7F_{12}N_4O_{10}P_4Rh_4$
fw	2445.00	2610.51
cryst syst	monoclinic	monoclinic
space group	P21	P21
a (Å)	15.65(1)	12.971(3)
b (Å)	17.64(2)	18.364(4)
<i>c</i> (Å)	19.21(2)	23.397(5)
β (deg)	99.30(2)	105.347(4)
$V(Å^3)$	5231(8)	5374(2)
Z	2	2
d_{calcd} (g cm ⁻³)	1.552	1.613
μ (Mo K _{α}) (mm ⁻¹)	0.907	0.918
T, °C	-60	-60
GOF	1.007	1.057
R1, ^{<i>a</i>} wR2 ^{<i>b</i>} ($I > 2\sigma$)	0.0603, 0.1615	0.0549, 0.1381
abs structure param (Flack)	-0.04(3)	-0.01(3)

^{*a*} R1 = $[\Sigma w(F_o - F_c)^2 / \Sigma wF_o^2]^{1/2}$, ^{*b*} wR2 = $[\Sigma [w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2] / \Sigma w(F_o^2)^2]^{1/2}$, ^{*w*} = $1/[o^2(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$, where $P = [\max(F_o^2, 0) + 2(F_c^2)]/3$.

using the program SHELXTL.¹⁷ Subsequent cycles of least-squares refinement followed by difference Fourier syntheses revealed the positions of the remaining non-hydrogen atoms. Hydrogen atoms were added in idealized positions. All hydrogen atoms were included in the calculation of the structure factors. Non-hydrogen atoms in the molecular loops, except those that were disordered, were refined with anisotropic displacement coefficients.

The $-(CF_2)_3-$ groups in compound **2** are disordered over two orientations in a ratio of ca. 1:1. Other details of data collection and refinement are given in Table 1. Crystallographic data are available as Supporting Information.

Results and Discussion

Syntheses. The net reactions used to prepare **1** and **2** are summarized by the following equation:

$$2R-[cis-Rh_2(C_6H_4PPh_2)_2(CH_3CN)_6](BF_4)_2 + 2HO_2C(CF_2)_nCO_2H + 8py \rightarrow RR-[Rh_2(C_6H_4PPh_2)_2(py)_2O_2C(CF_2)_nCO_2]_2 + 4pyHBF_4$$

When the reaction was carried out in a mixture of CH_2 - Cl_2 , pyridine, and methanol, a clear solution was obtained. This solution was then layered with methanol to give an analytically pure product in high yield. The use of the polar solvent methanol is helpful in keeping reactants and intermediates, as well as the byproduct pyHBF₄, in solution.

For the reactions to proceed smoothly, it is also very important to use an excess of pyridine for two main reasons. First, the dirhodium unit, cis-Rh₂(C₆H₄PPh₂)₂²⁺, has a strong tendency to axially coordinate electron donors, such as acetic acid, methanol, water, and pyridine.^{6,7} Only when an excess of pyridine is used is the pure pyridine adduct, i.e., one with a py ligand in every axial position obtained. Second, pyridine neutralizes the strong acid HBF₄ that would otherwise be liberated and prevent the reactions from going to completion.



Figure 1. Core structure of the chiral molecular loop RR-cis-[Rh₂(C₆H₄-PPh₂)₂(py)₂(O₂CCF₂CF₂CO₂)]₂. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and some of the carbon atoms in the orthometalated C₆H₄PPh₂ group and pyridine molecules are omitted for clarity.



Figure 2. Core structure of RR-[*cis*-Rh₂(C₆H₄PPh₂)₂(py)₂(O₂CCF₂CF₂CF₂CC₂)]₂. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and some of the carbon atoms in the C₆H₄PPh₂ group and pyridine molecules are omitted for clarity.

Compounds 1 and 2 are very soluble in CH₂Cl₂ and CDCl₃. In each case, the ¹H NMR, ¹⁹F NMR, and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra are consistent with the presence of only one highly symmetric species in solution. Again, the addition of an excess of C₅D₅N to the NMR samples was necessary to guarantee that the labile axial sites are all occupied by the same molecules. Each ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum shows only one doublet. The doublet structure is due to coupling of the P atom to the ¹⁰³Rh atom to which it is bound. There is a doublet of doublets in the ¹⁹F NMR spectra of each of these compounds that have been assigned to the F atoms of the CF_2 groups adjacent to the carboxylate groups. For 2, the signal for the central CF_2 group is a singlet. The appearance of the doublet of doublets is due to the coupling of the F nuclei with two ¹⁰³Rh nuclei (I = 1/2) which, while chemically equivalent, have different atoms (C or P) trans to each of the $-CF_2CO_2$ oxygen atoms.

Structures. Compounds **1** and **2** both crystallize along with interstitial molecules in the monoclinic chiral space group $P2_1$ with Z = 2. The core structures are depicted in Figures 1 and 2. Selected bond distances, bond angles, and torsion angles are listed in Tables 2 and 3. Each compound is composed of two inherently chiral dirhodium units. Each dirhodium unit contains two cisoid, head-to-tail orthometa-lated $C_6H_4PPh_2^-$ ligands and two carboxylate groups. The dirhodium units are linked by two perfluorodicarboxylate bridges ($-(CF_2)_2-$ in **1** or $-(CF_2)_3-$ in **2**) to form molecular loops. The axial positions of the dirhodium units are all occupied by pyridine molecules. The idealized overall symmetry is D_2 , which is consistent with the NMR spectra,

⁽¹⁶⁾ SADABS. Area Detector Absorption and other Corrections Software, Version 2.05; Bruker Advanced X-ray Solutions, Inc.: Madison, WI, 2001.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXTL, version 6.12; Bruker Advanced X-ray Solutions, Inc.: Madison, WI, 2002.

Table 2. Selected Bond Distances (Å), Bond Angles, and Torsion Angles (deg) for 1

		-	
Rh(1)-Rh(2)	2.538(2)	Rh(2) - N(2)	2.280(8)
Rh(3)-Rh(4)	2.537(2)	Rh(3) - N(3)	2.280(8)
Rh(1) - P(1)	2.222(3)	Rh(4) - N(4)	2.276(7)
Rh(2) - P(2)	2.196(3)	Rh(1) - O(1)	2.170(7)
Rh(3) - P(3)	2.218(3)	Rh(1) - O(5)	2.150(6)
Rh(4) - P(4)	2.198(3)	Rh(2) - O(2)	2.189(7)
Rh(1) - C(20)	1.978(8)	Rh(2) - O(6)	2.171(6)
Rh(2) - C(2)	1.978(9)	Rh(3) - O(3)	2.174(6)
Rh(3) - C(56)	2.010(9)	Rh(3) - O(7)	2.214(7)
Rh(4) - C(38)	1.979(9)	Rh(4) - O(4)	2.167(6)
Rh(1)-N(1)	2.208(8)	Rh(4) - O(8)	2.148(7)
N(1) - Rh(1) - Rh(2)	164.4(2)	N(3) - Rh(3) - Rh(4)	168.2(2)
C(20) - Rh(1) - O(1)	86.5(3)	C(56) - Rh(3) - O(7)	85.1(4)
O(5) - Rh(1) - O(1)	84.2(3)	O(3) - Rh(3) - O(7)	86.3(2)
O(5) - Rh(1) - P(1)	93.3(2)	O(3) - Rh(3) - P(3)	90.8(2)
C(20) - Rh(1) - P(1)	95.9(3)	C(56) - Rh(3) - P(3)	97.7(3)
N(2)-Rh(2)-Rh(1)	166.0(2)	N(4) - Rh(4) - Rh(3)	164.8(2)
C(2) - Rh(2) - O(6)	83.1(3)	C(38) - Rh(4) - O(4)	85.6(3)
O(6) - Rh(2) - O(2)	87.4(2)	O(8) - Rh(4) - O(4)	84.1(2)
O(2) - Rh(2) - P(2)	92.7(2)	O(8) - Rh(4) - P(4)	94.0(2)
C(2) - Rh(2) - P(2)	96.8(3)	C(38) - Rh(4) - P(4)	96.1(2)
P(1)-Rh(1)-Rh(2)-P(2)	75.7(1)	P(3)-Rh(3)-Rh(4)-P(4)	74.56(9)
C(20) - Rh(1) - Rh(2) - C(2)	-116.9(4)	C(56) - Rh(3) - Rh(4) - C(38)	-119.2(4)
P(1)-Rh(1)-Rh(2)-C(2)	-21.0(3)	P(3)-Rh(3)-Rh(4)-C(38)	-21.5(2)
C(20)-Rh(1)-Rh(2)-P(2)	-20.3(3)	C(56) - Rh(3) - Rh(4) - P(4)	-23.1(3)
O(1) - Rh(1) - Rh(2) - O(2)	-13.6(2)	O(3) - Rh(3) - Rh(4) - O(4)	-16.0(3)
O(5) - Rh(1) - Rh(2) - O(6)	-10.5(2)	O(7) - Rh(3) - Rh(4) - O(8)	-14.0(2)

 Table 3.
 Selected Bond Distances (Å), Bond Angles, and Torsion Angles (deg) for 2

Rh(1)-Rh(2) Rh(3)-Rh(4) Rh(1)-P(1) Rh(2)-P(2) Rh(3)-P(3) Rh(4)-P(4) Rh(1)-C(20) Rh(2)-C(2) Rh(3)-C(56) Rh(4)-C(38) Rh(1)-N(1) N(1)-Rh(1)-Rh(2) C(20)-Rh(1)-O(1) O(1)-Rh(1)-P(1) C(20)-Rh(1)-P(1) N(2)-Rh(2)-P(1) N(2)-Rh(2)-O(2) O(2)-Rh(2)-O(6) O(6)-Rh(2)-P(2) P(1)-Rh(1)-Rh(2)-P(2) P(1)-Rh(1)-Rh(2)-P(2) P(2)-Rh(2)-P(2) P(1)-Rh(1)-Rh(2)-P(2) P(2)-Rh(2)-P(2) P(1)-Rh(1)-Rh(2)-P(2) P(1)-Rh(1)-Rh(2)-P(2) P(1)-Rh(1)-Rh(2)-C(2) P(1)-Rh(1)-Rh(2)-Rh(1)-Rh(2)-Rh(1)-Rh(2)-Rh(1)-Rh(2)-Rh(1)-Rh(2)-Rh(1)-Rh(2)-Rh(1)-Rh(2)-Rh(1)-Rh(2)-R	2.580(1) 2.5816(9) 2.221(2) 2.220(2) 2.211(2) 2.209(2) 2.017(7) 2.004(7) 2.014(7) 2.013(6) 2.257(7) 166.0(2) 91.1(2) 83.9(2) 96.5(2) 88.4(2) 165.0(2) 91.0(2) 85.4(2) 94.5(2) 89.2(2) 99.00(8) -77.8(3)
$\begin{array}{l} (1) & (1) & (1) & (2) &$	$\begin{array}{c} -77.8(3) \\ 10.2(2) \\ 11.0(2) \\ 16.6(2) \\ 15.1(2) \end{array}$

but the molecular loop is located on a general position in the X-ray structure.

In compound **1**, the Rh–Rh bond distances of 2.538(2) and 2.537(2) Å are similar to those found in other orthometalated dirhodium complexes^{7,18} as are the Rh–P and Rh–C distances. One of the four Rh–N distances (2.208(8) Å) is much shorter than the others (about 2.280(8) Å). Because there is no obvious chemical reason for such a difference, it is possible that crystal packing forces might be the cause. The P–Rh–Rh–C torsion angles are in the range of $-20.3(3)^{\circ}$ to $-23.1(3)^{\circ}$, and those for O–Rh–Rh–O are between $-10.5(2)^{\circ}$ and $-16.0(3)^{\circ}$. In this complex, the P–Rh–Rh–P torsion angles (75.7(1)° and 74.56(9)°) are smaller than those of C–Rh–Rh–C (–116.9-(4)° and $-119.2(4)^{\circ}$). Therefore, the overall chirality of this dirhodium compound is $R_M R_M$.¹⁹ This is uncommon for

$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{h}(2) = \mathbf{N}(2)$	2 207(5)
$\operatorname{Ril}(2) = \operatorname{IN}(2)$	2.297(3)
Rn(3) = N(3)	2.289(7)
Rh(4) = N(4)	2.272(7)
Rh(1) - O(1)	2.207(5)
Rh(1) - O(5)	2.175(5)
Rh(2) - O(2)	2.157(5)
Rh(2) = O(6)	2.199(6)
Rh(3)-O(3)	2.186(5)
Rh(3)-O(7)	2.185(5)
Rh(4) - O(4)	2.174(5)
Rh(4) - O(8)	2.187(4)
N(3) - Rh(3) - Rh(4)	162.0(2)
C(56) - Rh(3) - O(3)	91.9(3)
O(7) - Rh(3) - O(3)	85.3(2)
O(7) - Rh(3) - P(3)	93.1(1)
C(56) - Rh(3) - P(3)	89.8(2)
N(4) - Rh(4) - Rh(3)	161.4(2)
C(38) - Rh(4) - O(8)	91.6(2)
O(4) - Rh(4) - O(8)	86.6(2)
O(4) - Rh(4) - P(4)	93.2(1)
C(38) - Rh(4) - P(4)	88.8(2)
P(3)-Rh(3)-Rh(4)-P(4)	100.66(7)
C(56) - Rh(3) - Rh(4) - C(38)	-77.3(3)
P(3)-Rh(3)-Rh(4)-C(38)	12.1(2)
C(56) - Rh(3) - Rh(4) - P(4)	11.2(2)
O(3) - Rh(3) - Rh(4) - O(4)	13.2(2)
O(7) - Rh(3) - Rh(4) - O(8)	145(2)
O(1) $Im(2)$ $Im(1)$ $O(0)$	1.1.5(2)

simple dirhodium compounds, in which the torsion angle of P-Rh-Rh-P is usually larger than that of $C-Rh-Rh-C^{18}$ because of steric repulsions between the bulky phenyl groups in the phosphines.

^{(18) (}a) Barceló, F.; Cotton, F. A.; Lahuerta, P.; Sanaú, M.; Schwotzer, W.; Ubeda, M. A. Organometallics 1987, 6, 1105. (b) Lahuerta, P.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Paya, J.; Peris, E. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1990, 173, 99. (c) Morrison, E. C.; Tocher, D. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1991, 408, 105. (d) Lahuerta, P.; Payá, J.; Peris, E.; Aguirre, A.; García-Granda, S.; Gómez-Beltrán, F. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1992, 192, 43. (e) Borrachero, M. V.; Estevan, F.; García-Granda, S.; Lahuerta, P.; LaTorre, J.; Peris, E.; Sanaú. M. Chem. Commun. 1993, 1864. (f) Estevan, F.; Lahuerta, P.; Peris, E.; Ubeda, M. A.; García-Granda, S.; Gómez-Beltrán, F.; Pérez-Carreño, E.; González, G.; Martínez, M. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1994, 218, 189. (g) Estevan, F.; García-Granda, S.; Lahuerta, P.; LaTorre, J.; Peris E.; Sanaú, M. Inorg. Chim. Acta. 1995, 229, 365. (h) Lahuerta, P.; Pereira, I.; Pérez-Prieto, J.; Sanaú, M.; Stiriba, S.-E.; Taber, D. F. J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 612, 36. (i) Estevan, F.; Krueger, P.; Lahuerta, P.; Moreno, E.; Pérez-Prieto, J.; Sanaú, M.; Werner, H. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 105.



Figure 3. Crystal packing of the molecular loops in $1\cdot 3CH_2Cl_2\cdot CH_3OH \cdot 0.5H_2O$ (upper) and $2\cdot 3.5CH_2Cl_2\cdot 2CH_3OH$ (lower) showing the chiral channels formed by the molecules. The hydrogen atoms, carbon atoms in pyridine molecules, some of the carbon atoms in the $C_6H_4PPh_2$ ligand, and the interstitial molecules have been omitted for clarity.

For compound **2**, the Rh–Rh distances, 2.580(1) and 2.5816(9) Å, are about 0.04 Å longer than those in **1**. The difference is believed to arise from crystal packing forces. The Rh–N distances are distributed through the range 2.26–2.30 Å. Other bond distances are in the normal range. In contrast to compound **1**, here the P–Rh–Rh–P torsion angles (99.00(8)° and 100.66(7)°) are larger than those for

C-Rh-Rh-C ($-77.8(3)^{\circ}$ and $-77.3(3)^{\circ}$). Therefore, the overall chirality of this dirhodium compound is $R_P R_P$.^{19,20} The torsion angles for P-Rh-Rh-C and O-Rh-Rh-O are in a relatively small range as shown in Table 3.

In contrast with the formation of triangles when rigid dicarboxylate linkers have been used,⁷ the formation of loops requires linkers to form curves, which the $O_2(CF_2)_xCO_2$ groups can do. However, unlike "permanently bent" linkers, such as malonate dianion, they might also be able to participate in the formation of squares or triangles. However, the multinuclear NMR spectra for **1** and **2** are consistent with there being only one species in solution. The exclusive formation of molecular loops in these reactions demonstrates again the key influence of entropy in supramolecular chemistry.²¹

Finally, the crystal packing patterns of these compounds are also interesting. As shown in Figure 3, the molecular loops stack directly on top of each other, creating chiral channels. This packing pattern, which is common for the supramolecular compounds with dinuclear building blocks,³ may be a key element for future development of the chemistry of these entities.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Science Foundation, the Robert A. Welch Foundation, and Texas A&M University for financial support. We also thank Johnson– Matthey for a generous loan of rhodium trichloride.

Supporting Information Available: X-ray crystallographic files in CIF format for RR-[*cis*-Rh₂(C₆H₄PPh₂)₂(py)₂O₂C(CF₂)_nCO₂]₂ (**1**· 3CH₂Cl₂·CH₃OH·0.5H₂O, n = 2 and **2**·3.5CH₂Cl₂·2CH₃OH, n =3). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

IC051149K

⁽¹⁹⁾ For a definition of $R_M R_M$ and $R_P R_P$, see ref 7 or Cahn, R. S.; Ingold, C.; Prelog, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1966**, 5, 385.

⁽²⁰⁾ The reasons for why the conformation in compound **1** is $R_M R_M$ while that of compound **2** is $R_P R_P$ have not systematically investigated. However, it is possible that the chirality of these molecular loops is affected by the length of the alkyl chain.

⁽²¹⁾ For examples where the effect of entropy is notorious, see for example: (a) Cotton, F. A.; Lin, C.; Murillo, C. A. *Inorg. Chem.* 2001, 40, 575. (b) Schweiger, M.; Seidel, S. R.; Arif, A. M.; Stang, P. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2001, 40, 3467.